

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,463	REMBE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kara E. Geisel	2877	

All Participants:

(1) Kara E. Geisel.

(2) Randy Huis, attorney of record.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Or the like deleted from claim 5 to put case in condition for allowance. See Office Action

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**HWA (ANDREW) LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER**



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)